



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/679,725

10/04/2000

Robert g. Whirley

TRI-0255-UT

7345

82764

7590

02/27/2009

GRANT ANDERSON LLP
GRANT ANDERSON LLP C/O PORTFOLIOIP
P.O. BOX 52050
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

PROCTOR, JASON SCOTT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2123

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/27/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/679,725	Applicant(s) WHIRLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner JASON PROCTOR	Art Unit 2123	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JASON PROCTOR. (3) ____.

(2) William B. Anderson (41,585). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: St. Ville, Keane, Rogers.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments in claim 1 to recite "...and simulates an interaction ... over at least one dynamic expansion and contraction cycle of THE ANATOMICAL FEATURE..." would grant additional weight to the argument that the prior art does not teach the simulation as claimed. A response to the pending office action has been filed on 2/17/2009 without these amendments. Applicants may or may not file such amendments at this time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Jason Proctor/
--	-----------------